

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams – Revision Petition filed by Sri M.Satyanaraya Murthy, Co-ordinator, D.P.P., T.T.D. u/s. 121 of the Act, 30/1987 – Allowed – Orders – Issued.

REVENUE (ENDTS.III) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 381

Dated:28-03-2011
Read the following:-

1. Govt.Memo.No.39701/Endts.III/A2/2007-8, dt.23-06-2008
2. From M.Satyanarayana Murthy, Coordinator, DPP, TTD
Revision Petition Dt. Nil.
3. From E.O. TTD Lr.Roc.No.B2/18659/2007, dt.27-8-2009.

ORDER:

In the reference 2nd read above, Sri M.Satyanarayana Murthy, Coordinator, DPP has filed a Revision Petition against the orders issued by the Govt. 1st read above.

2. Based on the anonymous petition, the Vigilance Department of TTD conducted preliminary enquiry and submitted its report dated 05-09-2005. In the preliminary enquiry the statements recorded from the witnesses revealed that Sri M.Sathyanarayana Murthy (appellant herein), Co-ordinator, DPP, Vishakapatnam and Vijayanagaram and Sri SVJVR Swamy, Puranapandit, DPP were demanding and accepting bribe from the artistes for giving DPP programmes. Further, the entire episode of accepting the bribe from Smt.Radha Kumari, Artiste by Sri M.Sathyanarayana Murthy, Co-ordinator was recorded by the TV9 channel. Therefore, it was decided to initiate disciplinary action against the appellant and accordingly, Sri M.Sathyanarayana Murthy, Co-ordinator was placed under suspension.

3. The Executive Engineer-VI, TTD, Vijayawada who was appointed as an Enquiry Officer, has conducted enquiry as per CCA rules. In the enquiry all the witnesses deposed that the appellant was in the habit of demanding and collecting bribe for arranging programmes to them. Further, the appellant had stated that there was no need to cross examine the witnesses. On this, the recorded CD of TV9 was shown to the appellant and it was found clearly that the appellant had taken the amount from Smt. Radha Kumari, Artiste and kept it in his bag. In the backdrop of the depositions of the witnesses and the recorded evidence of CD, the charge leveled against the appellant was held proved. The Enquiry Officer submitted his report and findings accordingly.

4. Further, the appellant explained to the show cause notice issued in this regard that the entire case was foisted against him and the appellant did not produce any evidence rebutting the charges levelled against him. After examining the issue, the disciplinary authority has imposed punishment i.e., dismissal from service vide Proceedings Roc.No.DA4/2113/2006 dated 23-1-2007.

5. Aggrieved by the said orders, he filed an appeal before the TTD Board. The appeal of Sri M.Sathyanarayana Murthy, Ex-Co-ordinator was placed before the TTD Sub-Committee on appeals for hearing the appellant and to submit its recommendations to the TTD Board for

Contd.P.3.

taking decision. The said committee during its meeting held on 25-4-2007 in its Resolution No.11 dated 25-4-2007 recommended to reinstate him with the punishment of stoppage of three increments with cumulative effect. The intervening period reckoned from the date of suspension to the date of reinstatement may be considered according to rules. The Sub-Committee also recommended not to post him at the places where financial transactions are involved.

6. The Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams Board in its Resolution No.73 dated 20-5-2007 resolved to approve the recommendations of the Sub-committee on appeal. Accordingly, on reinstatement the appellant was posted to Hanumakonda DPP centre.

7. Aggrieved by the above said decision of the TTD Board, the appellant preferred an appeal before the Government under Section 120 (ii) of Act 30/87 and the same was disposed of by the Government on the ground that there was no reason to interfere with the decision of the T.T.D. Board vide reference 1st read above.

8. Aggrieved by the above said orders, the appellant has filed a Revision Petition before the Government with a prayer to set-aside punishment severely imposed against him to stop (3) increments with cumulative effect in the interest of justice and pass orders.

9. The Executive Officer, T.T.D. Tirupati has furnished the para wise remarks and connected records on revision petition of the appellant vide reference 3rd read above.

10. The case was posted for hearing on 25-02-2011. The Petitioner and Deputy Executive Officer, Information Centre, T.T.D. Hyderabad on behalf of Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams were present.

11. The Government have heard the arguments of the Petitioner as well as the Deputy Executive Officer, T.T.D. on behalf of T.T.D. and also perused the material available on records and observed that the Petitioner's argument is very much convincing and genuine. Therefore, the Revision Petition filed by Sri M.Satyanarayana Murthy, Co-ordinator, DPP, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams is hereby allowed.

12. The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams shall take further necessary action in the matter, accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

Dr.K.V.RAMANACHARY,
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
Sri M.Satyanarayana Murthy, Co-ordinator, Dharma Prachara Parishad,
Opp.T.T.D. Kalyanamandapam, MVP Colony, Sector- 8,
Visakhapatnam-530017. **thro' Executive Officer, TTD Tirupati.**
The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati.

Copy to: OSD to M (Endts,).

P.S. to Prl. Secy. (Endowments).

SF/SC.

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER